

10.03.2026
Sl. No.47
NB

CRM (A) 612 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Chinsurah PS Case No.28/2026 dated 17.01.2026 under Sections 126(2)/118(1)/118(2)/109 of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Shibsankar Som @ Shibu Som

... petitioner

Mr. Sanat Kr. Das,
Mr. Sujan Chatterjee,
Mr. Rohan Bavishi.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Binoy Kumar Panda,
Ms. Smita Saha.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. After the romantic relationship between the petitioner and the victim turned sour, the present FIR was registered.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the injury report, the statements of the victim and the statements of the other witnesses. There was a serious assault on vital parts of the body like the scalp, which required stitches for repair. The petitioner had been threatening the victim for some time.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)