

10.03.2026
Sl. No.44
Ct. 28
NB

C.R.M (A) 608 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Panchla PS Case No.412/2025 dated 11.12.2025 under Sections 420/406/467/468/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In the matter of: Mousumi Shil ... petitioner

Mr. Sattik Rout,
Ms. Sudeshna Maji.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhamoy Bhattacharya,
Mr. Shashanka Sekhar Saha.
...for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. It is alleged that a power of attorney was forged. However, such allegations are primarily against the three principal accused who were arrested and thereafter granted bail. The petitioner is a homemaker and has two minor children to look after.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the principal allegation is against the husband of the petitioner. The role ascribed to the petitioner is that she had signed the alleged false power of attorney as a witness. The power of attorney was false in the sense that the person who executed it was not the owner of the property.

Considering the above, the other materials available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the present

petitioner and the fact that the petitioner is a female member of the household, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer and subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and on further condition that the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation and shall not threaten or intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)