

27/04
2026

AD/31/24
266312
NANDY

(DO)

In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA/4160/2026

SOURAV MAJUMDAR
VS
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Mr. Gaurav Purakayastha, Advocate
Ms. Sushmita Choudhury, Advocate

.....for the Petitioner

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharya, Advocate
Mr. Bibek Dutta, Advocate
Mr. Manas Bhattacharya, Advocate

.....for the WBBSE

Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya, Advocate
Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh, Advocate

.....for the WBCSSC

Ms. Tapati Samanta, Advocate

.....for the State

1. Affidavit of service as filed, be kept with the record.
2. The petitioner is a candidate in the second State Level Selection Test (SLST) with a 55% mental disability. The petitioner is a patient of schizophrenia.
3. Mr. Purakayastha, learned Advocate appearing of the petitioner, submits that the candidature of the petitioner has been considered in the general category and not in the Physically Handicapped (PH) or Other Handicapped (OH) category.
4. The petitioner had made several representations which have not been considered by the Commission as to why he was treated as a General Category candidate in spite of giving all relevant documents regarding his disabilities.
5. In view of the afore-stated, the respondent no. 3 is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 28.12.2025 by May 15, 2026 and the resultant order of such consideration be communicated to the petitioner 20.05.2026.
6. With the afore-stated directions, the writ-petition is **disposed of**. No order as to costs.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)

