

10/03/2026
D/L – 38
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Rejected

C.R.M.(A) 601 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Islampur P.S case no. 13 of 2026 dated 04/01/2026 under sections 115(2)/118(2)/126(2)/3(5)/329(3)/351(3)/74 of the BNS.

In the matter of: Md. Mahfuz @ Mahatuj & Ors.

...Petitioners.

Mr. Amit Roy

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Shranaz Sarkar

Mr. Md. Tehasis Reja

Mr. Shabbar Yazdasi

Mr. Shamshoy Ali

Mr. Soham Roy Chowdhury

...for the de-facto complainant.

Ms. Zareen N. Khan

Ms. Sonali Bhar

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that there was an altercation between co-villagers. No grievous injury was caused.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the de-facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioners and others were assaulting the mother of the main victim. When the victim lady came to rescue her mother, she was severely assaulted by the present petitioners. She sustained injuries all over her body, including a serious cut injury on the left hand with 'dah' and also suffered blunt trauma.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. All the accused had attacked

the victims with arms. She relies on the statements of eye-witnesses and the injury report as well as the subsequent statement of the 18 years old victim girl alleging that the accused had been threatening the victim after the incident.

4. Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged roles ascribed to the present petitioners and the allegation that threats were given subsequently, I do not consider this to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)