

AD 65
March 31, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 597 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Singur P.S. Case No.667 of 2025 dated 09.12.2025 under Sections 64(1)/62/76/351(2) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Subhas Malik

... petitioner

Mr. Shibaji Kumar Das
Ms. Deblina De

... for the petitioner

Mr. Pravas Bhattahcharya
Mr. Raju Mondal

... for the State

Report filed by the petitioner is taken on record.

Despite service no one appears on behalf of the de facto complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Actually, there was a love affair between the petitioner and the alleged victim. As the family members did not to agree the proposed marriage, the de facto complainant lodged the FIR in order to take revenge.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, relies on the statement of the victim recorded before the learned Magistrate, which implicates the petitioner and is fully corroborated by the post occurrence witness being the mother of the alleged victim. The allegations are quite different and very serious indeed. He

refers to the emergency medical ticket and the medical report which shows an injury on a part of the victim's body. He also relies on the seizure list for the wearing apparels of the victim.

Considering the above and the other materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)