

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA 3985 of 2026

Baidyanath Bandyopadhyay
-versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Susmita Dey (Basu)
Mr. Antarik Dawn
... For the petitioner

Mr. N.C. Bihani, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Soumyajit Ghosh
... For CSTC

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.
2. The petitioner is a retired employee of the Calcutta State Transport Corporation (CSTC). He alleges that some amount is due to him on account of leave encashment.
3. It appears that there is no representation from the side of the petitioner demanding his claim before the authority.
4. Prior to filing the instant writ petition, the petitioner ought to have approached the authority with his prayer for disbursement of the leave encashment which is alleged to be due. Without filing the representation, the instant writ petition ought not to have been filed.
5. Nevertheless, as prayer has been made seeking liberty to file the representation, the instant writ petition

stands disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a representation before the authority highlighting his claim.

6. If any representation is made, the same shall be considered by the authority in accordance with the prevailing rules. A reasoned order shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

7. All parties shall act on the basis of a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

8. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)