

09.03.2026
Sl. No.54
NB

CRM (A) 577 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Suti PS Case No.1032/2025 dated 01.09.2025 under Sections 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/109/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Masibur Sk. @ Masibur Rahaman
... petitioner

Ms. Shabana Hasin.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Saibal Bapuli,
Mr. Arani Bhattacharyya.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that there was a fight between the relatives. The other co-accused were granted anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of the victim recorded before the learned Magistrate as well as the injury report, which shows that the petitioner was the principal accused. There was a stab injury inflicted on the abdomen of the victim. The victim had to remain in the hospital for about nine days.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)