

09.03.2026
Sl. No.52
NB

CRM (A) 575 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kandi PS Case No.366/2025 dated 27.07.2025 under Sections 85/80/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Abul Kalam Azad @ Abul ... petitioner

Mr. Manas Kumar Das,
Mr. Aritra Kumar Thodkar,
Mr. Probal Das.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Dasadhikari,
Mr. Mainak Gupta.
..for the State.

Md. Hafiz Ali
...for the de facto complainant.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the husband of the alleged victim. The incident took place within one year of marriage. The victim committed suicide at her own paternal home. Charge sheet has been submitted.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de facto complainant submits that a day before the incident, the petitioner and the others came and demanded dowry. This was the trigger that prompted the victim to commit suicide by hanging.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the FIR, the other statements of witnesses and the postmortem report.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the present petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)