

30.03.2026
Court No.28
Item No.42
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 570 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Haripal** P.S. Case No.1 of 2026 dated **02.01.2026** under Sections **115(2)/126(2)/3(5)/351(2)/352/76/77/79** of the BNS, 2023 and Section 8/12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

And

In the matter of: Rupam Chakraborty

....Petitioner.

Mr. Sandip Kr. Mondal
Mr. Sumit Routh
Ms. Ritika Yadav

...for the petitioner

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty
Mr. Pratick Bose

... for the State

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

No one appears on behalf of the de facto complainant.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to previous grudge. Earlier, the grandfather of the petitioner had lodged an FIR against the father of the alleged victim in the year 2025 regarding an incident.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statement of the 12 year old victim present at page 77 of the case diary and to her injury report. He also refers to the statement of the local witness who has implicated the present petitioner. He also refers to other statements of witnesses.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)