

WPA 3604 of 2026

Runi Pal
Vs.
The State of W. B. & Ors.

Mr. Golam Karim Chowdhury
...for the petitioner.
Mr. Jayanta Samanta
Mr. Keshab Chandra Das ...for the State.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner and report submitted by the State are taken on record.

The petitioner alleges that the private respondents are raising construction in the land owned and occupied by her classified as 'Sali' without conversion of the same.

The complaint lodged by the petitioner discloses a cognizable offence under Section 4C/4D of the West Bengal Land Reforms Act. No step has been taken pursuant to the said complaint.

It appears from the report submitted by the State that preliminary enquiry was held upon receipt of the complaint and a letter was issued to the Block Land and Land Reforms Officer seeking information with regard to the land in question. It was found that the petitioner is not the owner/occupier of the land. Prosecution has been submitted under Section 126/135(3) of the BNSS.

It is a fact that the complaint lodged by the petitioner discloses a cognizable offence.

In view of the fact that the complaint has not been registered as FIR by the police authority, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the jurisdictional Magistrate under Section 175 of the BNSS for redressal of her grievance.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)