

09.03.2026
Sl. No.50
NB

CRM (A) 563 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Haringhata PS Case No.640/2025 dated 17.09.2025 under Sections 85/108/80(2)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Nurjahan Bibi & Anr. ... petitioners

Mr. Amit Ranjan Pati,
Ms. Sreemayi Ray,
Mr. Akash Ghosh.
...for the petitioners.

Mr. Aniket Mitra,
Mr. Ratul Ghosh.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners are the mother in law and the brother in law of the alleged victim. The principal accused was the husband, who was arrested and was thereafter granted bail. The incident took place within four months of marriage. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Charge sheet has been submitted.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of witnesses and the postmortem report. He specifically refers to the statements of the victim's 11 year old sister, which was recorded before the learned Magistrate. She claimed that she was taken by the victim to her matrimonial home as her father was ill. She witnessed the incident of murder. She clearly implicated the present petitioners and the husband of the victim as the culprits.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)