

30.03.2026
Court No.28
Item No.40
ssi

CRM (A) 556 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Nanoor Police Station Case No. 149 of 2025 dated 08.08.2025 under Sections 85/80 (2)/108/3(5) of the BNS 2023 and 4 of DP Act.

And

In the matter of: **Hasna Bibi**

.... Petitioner

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty
Mr. Raju Mondal
Mr. Kaustav Das

...for the petitioner

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Ld. APP
Mr. Aniket Mitra

...for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the aged aunt in law of the alleged victim. She stays at a separate place. She claims parity with a sister in law who was granted anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Court. The principal accused, being the husband, and some other co-accused were arrested and some were granted anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the incident took place within 10 months of the marriage. He refers to the post mortem report and submits that apart from a continuous ligature mark on the neck, there are other injuries present on the dead body. He also refers to the statements of neighbours, which clearly implicate the present petitioner. The petitioner has also been specifically implicated in the statements of relatives of the victim made before the learned Magistrate.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

The presence of the I.O. is noted and is dispensed with.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)