

21.04.2026

Item No.12
Ct.No.35
dc.
Allowed

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (M) 453 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Bhagwangola Police Station Case No. 267 of 2025 dated 13.06.2025 under Sections 137/140(3)/103(1)/249/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re : **Ujjal Sk @ Ujjal Shaikh**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Milon Mukherjee, Sr. Adv.,
Mr. Soukteya Ganguly

... For the Petitioner.

Ms. Rituparna De Ghose,
Ms. Pallavi Priyadarshi

... For the State.

Learned senior advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 17.06.2025. So far as the locus of the present petitioner in respect of the offence is concerned, the other accused persons, who have been similarly placed, have been granted anticipatory bail. There is no possibility of the trial commencing as one of the accused persons is still absconding and exhausting the process against him will consume some more time.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the Court to the statement of some of the witnesses recorded under Section 183 of BNSS.

I have taken into account the *post mortem* report and from the case diary, *prima facie*, I am of the view that the present petitioner is similarly situated with one Emraj Sk.

who has been granted anticipatory bail. Having considered the same, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail as the trial will consume some time since 29 witnesses have been relied upon and the processes in respect of the absconding accused are yet to be exhausted. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **allowed**.

Accordingly, the petitioner viz., **Ujjal Sk @ Ujjal Shaikh** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-, with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lalbagh, Murshidabad.

If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date so fixed by the learned Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of the district of Murshidabad without prior permission of the learned Court.

Report submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 453 of 2026, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)