

27.03.2026
Court No.35.
D/L. 93.
Kausik
(Rejected)

CRM (M) 442 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Panskura Police
Station Case No. 817 of 2025 dated 09.09.2025 under sections
126(2)/115(2)/65(1) of BNS and 6(1) of POCSO Act.

And

In the matter of : Sk. Sarif

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Amal Krishna Samanta

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Imam

Mr. B. Chatterjee

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner is in custody for 166 days. Charge sheet has
been submitted and charges have been framed.

On the other hand, learned advocate for the State
submits that the statement of the victim do implicate the
present petition for such heinous offence.

Having regard to the provisions of POCSO Act being
charged against the present petitioner, at this stage, I am not
inclined to accede to the prayer for bail.

Petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for
bail after the evidence of the victim is over.

As such, CRM (M) 442 of 2026 is **dismissed**.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)