

18<sup>th</sup> March, 2026  
Item no.18  
Subrata  
Bhattacharyya  
A.R.(Ct.)

**In the High Court at Calcutta**  
**Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction**  
**Appellate Side**

Case No. **WPA No.3283 of 2026**

*In the matter of :*  
**Swarup Ghosh** ..... *Petitioner*  
**VS.**  
**Union of India & Ors.** .....*Respondents*

*For the Petitioner:*  
**Mr. Sagnik Chatterjee**  
**Ms. Ananya Neogi**  
**Mr. Sayan Mukherjee**  
**Ms. Anushka Ghosh** .....*Advocates*

*For the Union of India:*  
**Ms. Chandreyi Alam**  
**Mr. Subhajit Das** .....*Advocates*

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.
2. The petitioner participated for recruitment as Constable-GD in Central Reserved Police Force.
3. He has been declared medically unfit due to mysiagnmus and inverted left index finger tip (post injury).
4. The petitioner is aggrieved by the manner in which he has been medically examined by the Detailed Medical Examination Board and the Review Medical Examination Board.
5. It has been submitted that the guidelines for Recruitment Medical Examination in Central Armed Police Forces have not been followed by the authority. Proper medical examination was not conducted.

6. Prayer has been made to reevaluate and reexamine the physical fitness of the petitioner by an independent medical board.
7. Submission of the petitioner is opposed by the learned advocate representing the respondents.
8. As per the Recruitment Rules, the decision of the Review Medical Board is final and no appeal can be entertained.
9. As it appears that the expert doctors of the recruiting authority detected the aforementioned defects in the petitioner which are grounds for disqualification of candidature, accordingly, the Court is not inclined to interfere with the said findings.
10. As the petitioner participated for recruitment in the Central Armed Police Force, the medical certificate relied upon by the petitioner obtained from the State Government Hospital in support of the submission that he does not suffer from any such defect, cannot be accepted.
11. The standard of fitness of a civilian is not the same as required in the armed forces where strict and stringent methods to assess physical fitness are resorted to.
12. In view of the above, no relief can be granted to the petitioner in the instant writ petition. The writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.
13. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.
14. Certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

**(Amrita Sinha, J.)**