

Ct.No.1 D/L 09.04.2026
01

Saikat
Mukherjee

CPAN/251/2003

**TANUJA CHOWDHURY
VS.
S.K. NARULA**

Mr. Swapan Kr. Datta, G.P.
Mr. Nilotpall Chatterjee, Adv.
...For the Respondent-State

Dictated by **Partha Sarathi Sen, J.**

1. None for the applicant/petitioner.
2. Respondent-State is represented.
3. It is a matter of 2003. We have every reason to believe that the petitioner has lost his interest in proceeding with the instant matter and/or the matter becomes infructuous on account of passage of time.
4. In view of such, the instant contempt application is dismissed for default.

(SUJOY PAUL, CJ.)

(PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.)