

08.04.2026
Serial no. 21
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 402 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with S. Sl Case No. 220 of 2019 arising out of Domkal Police Station Case No. 403 of 2018 dated 22.06.2018 u/s 302/34 of the IPC.

-And-

In the matter of : Ainul Sk

Mr. Arnab Chatterjee
Mr. Anisur Rahaman

... Petitioner(s)

... for the Petitioner(s)

Ms. Rituparna De Ghose
Mr. Subhasish Datta

... for the State-respondent(s)

The petitioner is in custody since July, 2025 and evaded the process of law for a considerable period of time.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the brother-in-law and, even if, the allegations of the prosecution are taken to be true, he is involved in peripheral activities so far as the offence is concerned.

Learned advocate for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the prosecution proposes to examine 19 witnesses in support of its case.

The records of the case reflect that P.W.9 has already been examined in the de-novo trial.

Having considered that the prosecution has put in efforts to take the trial to its logical conclusion and the fact

that the petitioner was not available before the court for almost seven years, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

Accordingly, CRM(M) 402 of 2026 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)