

27.03.2026
Serial no. 70
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 391 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with Nandigram PS Case No. 0210 dated 28.03.2024 u/s 376(3)/506 of the IPC, 1860 read with Sections 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012 (corresponding to POCSO Case No. 17/2024).

-And-

In the matter of : Soumitra Maity

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sandipan Ganguly, Sr Adv
Mr. Sumanta Ganguly
Mr. Sandip Chakraborty

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anupam Dasadhikari
Mr. D. Brahmin

... for the State-respondent(s)

Affidavit of Service filed be kept with the record.

Learned Senior advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for about two years and till date trial has not been concluded, as such, he prays that the petitioner may be released on bail.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the trial is at the advanced stage and, in all probability, the evidence of the investigating officer will commence from the next date fixed.

Having considered the same, at this stage, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is
Rejected.

The learned trial court would ensure that the verdict of this case is pronounced by 30th June, 2026.

The petitioner would be at liberty to pray for bail after the aforesaid period is over.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 391 of 2026 is **dismissed**.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)