

26.02.2026
Court No.28
Item No.13
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 452 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Kaliachak** P.S. Case No. **100 of 2026** dated **19.01.2026** under Sections **21(C)/27A/29** of the NDPS Act, 1985.

And

In the matter of: Abdul Karim @ Karim & Ors.

....Petitioners.

Mr. Arnab Chatterjee

Mr. Avik Ghosh

....for the petitioners

Mr. Soumik Ganguli

Ms. Sana Naaz

....for the State

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. As against them, there is no incriminating material available except for the statement of a co-accused.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She submits that the duty officer of the BSF spotted eight persons carrying contraband. When an ambush was laid, two of them were apprehended and the rest fled away. The petitioners were the ones who had fled away. There are records of phone call conversation between the arrested accused and the petitioner no. 2 who happens to be the brother of the petitioner no. 1.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the restriction contained in Section 37 of the NDPS Act, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)