

D/L 161
24.02.2026
Bpg.
Allowed

C.R.M. (M) 388 of 2026

In Re: An application for Bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Usthi Police Station Case No.414 of 2025 dated September 7, 2025 under Sections 85/80/82(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961;

Taslim Ahmed @ Taslima Ahmed Laskar
Versus
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Rabi Sankar Chattopadhyay
Mr. Sayan Chattopadhyay
Mr. Rokon Ali Molla
Ms. Suprava Jana
Mr. Ayanava.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Binoy Kumar Panda
Mr. Asraf Mandal.
...for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 165 days and the case has already been committed to the court of sessions. Learned advocate submits that although the incident occurred after two years of marriage but so far the present case is concerned having regard to the fact that the medical evidence do not support the ocular evidence in the facts of the case, petitioner may be released on bail.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail

and submits that the statements of each of the witnesses will reflect the complicity of the present petitioner and in close proximity of marriage the incident took place. Further, there are allegations that the petitioner thrice earlier married which is surfaced and which adds to the conduct and character of the petitioner.

Be that as it may, I have taken into account the overall circumstances of the case and that 23 witnesses have been cited by the prosecution in order to prove its case. Taking into account the period of detention and the time which will be consumed for completion of the case, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed. The petitioner, namely, Taslim Ahmed @ Taslima Ahmed Laskar shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Diamond Harbour. If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned court and shall not leave the district of 24 Parganas (South) without prior permission of the learned court. Learned trial court if it considered any further conditions for ensuring the presence of the petitioner, the learned trial court would be at liberty to impose such conditions.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 388 of 2026 is allowed.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)