

February 24, 2026
(32) ARDR

WPA 2860 of 2026

Prasanta Debshama
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & ors.

Adv. Sangeeta Roy,
Adv. Sandeep Prasad Shaw,
Adv. Chandrai Prakash,
Adv. Monalisa Maity,
Adv. Aman Kumar Singh,
...for the petitioner.
Sr. Adv. Rajdeep Majumder,
Adv. Jakir Hossain,
Adv. Mazahar Hossain Chowdhury,
...for the private respondent.
Adv. Mousumi Haldar Choudhury,
...for the State.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner and the report submitted by the State are taken on record.

The petitioner alleges that the complaint lodged by him against the private respondent has not been acted upon by the police authority.

It appears from the report submitted by the State that the petitioner has not been cooperating in the preliminary enquiry sought to be held by the police.

Be that as it may, since the petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that the written complaint lodged by him has not been acted upon, he is at liberty to approach the jurisdictional Magistrate under Section 175 of the BNSS for redressal of his grievance.

No fruitful purpose shall be served in keeping the writ petition pending. The same is accordingly disposed of.

There shall however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)