

18th Feb., 2026
Item no.D/L 69
Court No. 18
Pradip, A.R.(Ct.)

In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Case No. **WPA 2812 of 2026**

In the matter of :
Narayan Chandra Paul *.... Petitioner*
VS.
The State of West Bengal & Ors. *....Respondents*

For the Petitioner:
Mr. Debashis Banerjee
Mr. Rakesh Jana *....Advocates*

For the WBCSSC:
Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya
Ms. Pramiti Bandopadhyay
Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh *....Advocates*

For the State:
Mr. Rajat Dutta
Mr. Anirban Datta *....Advocates*

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.
2. The petitioner participated in 2nd SLST-2025 but got disqualified at the stage of credential verification as the name of the petitioner features in the list of tainted candidates as published by the Commission.
3. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner ought to be treated as disabled candidate and concession ought to be given to him in accordance with the judgment passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court on 3rd April, 2025 in the matter of State of West Bengal Vs. Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors.

4. It has been submitted that despite the name of the petitioner incorporated in the list of tainted candidates he ought to be given a chance to compete the present recruitment process by treating him as a disabled candidate.
5. It appears that the issue as to whether a disabled candidate can be considered for fresh recruitment despite his name featuring in the tainted list fell for consideration before the Court in WPA 26288 of 2025 with CAN 1 of 2025 & CAN 2 of 2025 in the matter of Mousumi Mondal & Anr. Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors. wherein the Court was of the opinion that the candidates whose names appeared in the tainted list, irrespective of their disability, cannot be permitted to participate in the fresh selection test.
6. As the issue appears to have decided by the Court, there is no requirement for revisiting the issue all over again. There is hardly any scope to provide any chance to the tainted candidates to participate in the fresh selection process.
7. In view of the above, no relief can be granted to the petitioner in the instant writ petition. The writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.
8. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)