

**18.06.2026**

Court No.35.

D/L. 15.

Kausik

(Allowed)

**CRM (M) 374 of 2026**

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of  
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Burwan Police  
Station Case No. 227 of 2021 dated 13.08.2021 under Sections  
326/307/302/120B/34 and Section 3/4 of E.S. Act.

And

**In the matter of : Saddam Sk.**

**.....Petitioner.**

Ms. Minoti Gomes

Mr. Md. Hafiz Ali

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya

Mr. Somnath Adhikary

.....for the State.

Petitioner was initially granted bail in connection with  
the instant case after suffering 3 years and 4 months in  
custody. Thereafter, on the ground of violations of certain  
conditions, the bail of the petitioner was cancelled and the  
petitioner was taken into custody on 23<sup>rd</sup> May, 2025. Petitioner,  
presently, is in custody for more than a year.

Learned advocate for the State submits that there are 7  
other cases pending against the petitioner.

I find from the records of the case that, earlier  
petitioner was granted bail, thereafter his bail was cancelled on  
the ground of violation of the condition. Violation of the said  
condition is for not staying at a particular location which was

committed before the Court. None of the accusations are for tampering with the witnesses concerned.

Learned advocate for the State further submits that 34 witnesses have been examined and about 40 more witnesses are yet to be examined by the prosecution. Having regard to the same, I direct that the petitioner be released on bail.

Accordingly, prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed.

Accordingly, Petitioner, namely, **Saddam Sk.** shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned ACJM, Kandi, Murshidabad.

If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of District of Murshidabad without the prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

Additionally, petitioner shall, except for the purposes of attending the Court, stay outside the jurisdiction of Kandi Police Station. Petitioner shall inform the Court prior to his release where he would be residing. Accordingly, the learned Trial Court will impose the condition to meet with the Officer-in-Charge or any police personnel deputed by the said Officer-in-Charge where the petitioner would reside, once in every 10 days. The attendance to the police station shall be checked by the learned Trial Court on each and every date. Copies of the same would be submitted by the petitioner before the learned

Trial Court on the date so fixed. If there are any violations of the aforesaid directions, learned Trial Court will be at liberty to cancel the bail without any further reference to this Court.

With the aforesaid observations CRM (M) 374 of 2026 is **allowed.**

As none appeared on behalf of the State Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya, APP and Mr. Somnath Adhikary, learned advocate was directed to represent the State. Their appointment be regularized by the concerned authorities.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

**(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)**