

AD 60  
February 18, 2026  
Ct. 28  
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 433 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kaliachak P.S. Case No.43 of 2026 dated 09.01.2026 under Sections 21(c)/27A/29 of the NDPS, 1985.

And

In the matter of: *Salam*

... *petitioner*

Ms. Sabrina Parveen

... for the petitioner

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. APP

Mr. Samarjit Balial

... for the State

Memo of evidence and report filed by the State are taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only material available against the petitioner is a statement of a co-accused.

Learned counsel for the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that other than the statement of a co-accused, there are several phone calls made between the petitioner and the principal accused at the relevant time.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the restrictions contained in Section 37 of the NDPS Act, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

**(Jay Sengupta, J.)**