

07.04.2026
Serial no. 49
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 353 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with Goalpokher Police Station Case No. 530 of 2023 dated 21.09.2023 u/s 302/312/120B of the IPC read with Sections 25(i)(a)/27/35 of the Arms Act.

-And-

In the matter of : Md. Kader Alam @ Kadir Alam

... .. Petitioner(s)

Mr. Biswajit Manna

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Bibswan Bhattacharya

Mr. Atanu Ghosh

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is similarly situated as the other accused persons who have been granted bail in CRM (M) 2026/2025 and CRM (M) 2400 of 2025.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the other accused persons who have been granted bail on whom parity has been claimed were in custody for one and half years, while the present petitioner is absconding for a substantial period of time and is in custody for about seven months.

Having considered the fact that the petitioner was absconding for a substantial period of time, at this stage, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is

Rejected.

However, the petitioner would renew his prayer for bail, if within a period of four months, rest of the witnesses is not completed by the learned trial court.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 353 of 2026 is **dismissed**.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)