

AD 60
February 16, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 405 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Manikchak P.S.
Case No.857 of 2025 dated 25.11.2025 under Sections
85/108/80/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: *Sadikul Momin @ Sadikul Alam @ Sadikul Alom*
... petitioner

Ms. Sujata Das ... for the petitioner

Mr. Arindam Sen
Ms. Pritha Paul ... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is
the husband of the alleged victim. The victim had committed suicide
within a year of marriage.

Learned counsel for the State strongly opposes the prayer for
anticipatory bail and relies on the post-mortem report and the
statements of witnesses including the statements of independent
neighbours which support the prosecution case.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials
available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the present
petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting
anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be
supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)

