

27.03.2026

Item No.39

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 342 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Khardaha Police Station Case No. 130 of 2025 dated 14.03.2025 under Section 103(1)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re : **Raj Tiwari**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Subhadeep Chatterjee,
Mr. Nilabha Roy,
Ms. Arpita Kundu

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Partha Pratim Das,
Mr. Md. Ejaj Akhter

... For the State.

Learned advocate appears for the petitioner and prays for bail of the petitioner.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail.

Records reflect that the involvement of the petitioner related to stabbing the deceased to death. There are 31 witnesses relied upon by the prosecution to prove its case.

Having considered the gravity of the offence, the prosecution is granted one year's time to progress with the evidence of the case.

At this stage, I am not inclined to accede to the prayer of the petitioner for bail. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

Report submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 342 of 2026, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)