

AD 39
February 23, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 417 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Khanakul P.S. Case No.753 of 2025 dated 09.11.2025 under Sections 109/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/126(2)/3(5)/303 (2)/351(3)/352/76/79 of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: *Sekh Kalo alias Sk Arif Ali and others*
... *petitioners*

Mr. Kallol Kumar Basu
Mr. Anindya Sundar Das
Mr. Debapriya Samanta
Mr. Jannat Ul Firdous
Mr. Rajsekhar Hota
Mr. Surajit Singha
Ms. Paramita Mondal
Ms. Suva Gayen
Mr. Suman Halder
Ms. Shabnam Yeasmin

... for the petitioners

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy
Mr. Shiladitya Banerjee

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been implicated in this case due to political reasons. The petitioners were not named in the FIR. There are case and counter case.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, refers to the injury reports and the CT scan report which shows multiple fractures on both hands of the victim, fracture on acromion process of scapula, knee and other injuries and submits that in his statement, the victim clearly implicated the present petitioners as some of the assailants.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)