

19.03.2026

22

Ct.No.237

Suman

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

CRM (M) 333 of 2026

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Special T. R. Case No.36 of 2019 arising out of Liluah Police Station Case No.39 of 2019 dated 06.02.2019 under Sections 363/365/376/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 8 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act.

And

In the matter of : **Rajan Kumar Show @ Rajen Shaw**
.... Petitioner

Mr. Mritunjoy Chatterjee
Ms. Suchismita Chakraborty
..for the petitioner

Mr. Arijit Ganguly
Mr. Tirthankar Dhali
..for the State

The petitioner was granted bail by this Court on July 23, 2019. Owing to non-compliance with the conditions imposed therein, he was subsequently taken into custody on January 17, 2026, by the learned Special Judge, POCSO Court, Howrah.

The learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, due to a miscommunication between the

petitioner and his counsel, the petitioner failed to appear before the Trial Court.

Having regard to the fact that the petitioner has remained in custody for approximately one month since his re-arrest, this Court is not inclined to keep him in further custody.

Accordingly, the petitioner namely **Rajan Kumar Show @ Rajen Shaw** shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be a local resident, to the satisfaction of the Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Howrah.

This order is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall appear before the Trial Court on each date of hearing until further orders and shall not, in any manner whatsoever, intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence.

In the event that the petitioner fails to appear before the Trial Court without justifiable cause, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel his bail in accordance with law, without making any further reference to this Court.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail is **allowed**.

Accordingly, **CRM (M) 333 of 2026** is, thus, disposed of.

Let an urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the learned advocates for the parties on the usual undertakings.

(Kausik Chanda, J.)