

11.03.2026
rpan/15

CRM (A) 391 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita in connection with Mahisadal Police Station Case No. 262 of 2025 dated 16.06.2025 under Section 75 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 10 of the Protection of Children from sexual Offences Act, 2019.

And

In the matter of: **X X X**
- petitioner.

Mr. Md. Abdur Rakib,
Mr. Mohahid Mehedi
... for the petitioner
Mr. Binay Kr. Panda,
Mr. Sarthak Mondal
... for the State

No one appears on behalf of the *de facto* complainant, despite service of notice.

Heard the learned counsels of the parties.

Perused the case diary.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary, including the statement of the 10 years old victim girl and the statement of her mother implicating the father, the present petitioner, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)