

06.02.2026
Item No.15
Ct. No.01
RP

WPA(P) 41 of 2026
Brotindro Mullick
Vs.
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Pramit Kumar Ray, Sr. Adv.
Ms. A. Bandopadhyay
Mr. Sourav Pal
Mr. Rajib Mullick
Mrs. Shirsha Chakraborty
...For Petitioner

Mr. Sarosij Dasgupta
Ms. Akanksha Mukherjee
Mr. Pradipta Bose
...For Respondent Nos.5 to 8 & 21

Mr. Soumya Majumder, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ratikanta Pal
Mr. Dattwik Dutta
...For Respondent no.20

Mr. Ashok Kumar Chakraborty, Ld. ASG
Mr. Sukumar Bhattacharya
Ms. Anamika Pandey
Mr. Ghanashyam Pandey
Mr. Dinesh Bachar
...For UOI

PER, SUJOY PAUL, CJ.:

1. Parties are represented through their respective counsels.
2. Supplementary affidavit filed by the petitioner is taken on record.
3. During the course of hearing the learned advocate for the parties agreed that this petition may be disposed of by permitting the present petitioner to file afresh a comprehensive representation along with

relevant documents and submit it before the respondent no.17 alongwith a server copy of this order.

4. In turn, the said respondent shall consider and decide the said representation in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within 45 days. It will be open for the said authority to hear the parties in the event, if he proposes to give any finding against any person.
5. With the aforesaid direction and without expressing any opinion on merit this petition is disposed of.
6. Learned senior advocate for the petitioner continuously insists that this direction should be issued upon the respondent no.12.
7. We are not inclined to accept the same because the respondent no.17 shall consider and decide the representation.

[SUJOY PAUL, CJ.]

[PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.]