

07.04.2026

Item No.37

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 318 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Gangarampur Police Station Case No. 576 of 2025 dated 29.08.2025 under Sections 85/103(1)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

And

In Re : **Nur Alam**

... Petitioner.

Ms. Busra Khatun

... For the Petitioner.

Ms. Sonali Das,
Mr. Dipankar Paramanick

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for six and a half months and the incident occurred after 13 years of marriage. It has been submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the charges and he has been falsely implicated in connection with the instant case.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail.

I have taken into account the *post mortem* report which alleges that the manner of death is homicidal. Having considered the same, at this stage, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

Petitioner be well advised to approach this Court after the evidence of post mortem doctor is over. To that effect,

learned Trial Court would prepone the evidence of the doctor so that his evidence be completed within a period of four months from the date of the charges having been framed.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 318 of 2026, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)