

09.02.2026
Serial no. 33
[G.S.D]

CRM (NDPS) 222 of 2026

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **Krishnaganj PS Case No. 486 of 2024 dated 16.12.2024 u/s 21(c)/29 of the NDPS Act.**
-And-

In the matter of : Dipankar Ghosh @ Bhodar
... .. Petitioner(s)
Mr. Sumanta Das
... for the Petitioner(s)
Mr. Joydeep Roy, Jr. Govt. Adv.
Ms. Pushpita Saha
... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the deposition of witness no.1 is over but the same witness is not supporting the prosecution’s case.

However, the charge-sheet reflects that there are three other independent witnesses. The recovery in the present case is of commercial quantity, as such, until and unless, the evidence of other three independent witnesses is over, at this stage, I am not inclined to accede to the prayer advanced in the present bail application.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after the evidence of other three independent witnesses is over.

The learned trial court is directed to conclude the evidence of other three independent witnesses on *priority* preferably within a period of four months from the next date fixed.

Accordingly, CRM(NDPS) 222 of 2026 is **dismissed**.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)