

16.02.2026
Court No.28
Item No.39
ssi

CRM (A) 363 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Manikchak Police Station Case No. 919 of 2025 dated 30.12.2025 under Section 85/123/3(5) of BNS 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Kanak Lata Saha @ Anitalata Saha @ Anitalata Poddar**

.... Petitioner

Mr. Kazi. M. Rahman

...for the petitioner

Ms. Sujata Das
Ms. Soubteya Ganguly

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the first wife of the principal accused in this case. Suddenly, a woman being the de facto complainant appeared and claimed to be the second wife of the said principal accused and tried to create a ruckus.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She submits that the petitioner happens to be the second wife of the de facto complainant's husband. The petitioner's husband got married to the de facto complainant eight years ago. There is a child from such marriage. It is alleged that on the particular day, the petitioner's husband forcibly got the gate of the victim's house opened and entered the premises. He allegedly caught hold of the victim while the present petitioner and her mother poured rat poison into her mouth. Thereafter, she had to remain admitted in the hospital for three days.

Considering the serious nature of allegations and the incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider that this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)