

19.02.2026

Item No.42

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 295 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Harirampur Police Station Case No. 90 of 2025 dated 06.05.2025 under Sections 331/118(2)/109/103/351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re : **Hemanta Murmu**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Datta Gupta,
Mr. Parvej Anam,
Ms. Rituparna Ghosh

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, APP

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for about ten months and the investigation has already been concluded as charge-sheet has been submitted before the jurisdictional court. It has also been contended that 16 witnesses have been cited by the prosecution and there is no possibility of the trial concluding in the near future. As such, petitioner may be released on bail on any stringent condition.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the Court to the statement of one of the eyewitnesses under Section 183 of the BNSS.

I have considered the totality of the circumstances for which the present petitioner has been charged. Having considered the same, I am of the view that this is not a fit

case to release the petitioner on bail at this stage. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 295 of 2026, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)