

27.03.2026
Serial no. 22
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 311 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with Arambagh Police Station Case No. 295 of 2020 dated 07th Day of August, 2020 u/s 341/325/326/307/302/379/427/506/34 of the IPC read with Sections 3/4 of the Explosive Substance Act, 1908 and Section 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1878.
-And-

In the matter of : Monimohan Singh @ Moni Mohan Singh @ Manimohan Singh & Anr.

	... Petitioner(s)
Mr. Satadru Lahiri	
Mr. Jyotirmoy Talukder	
	... for the Petitioner(s)
Mr. Jaydeep Biswas	
Ms. Jonaki Saha	
	... for the State-respondent(s)
Mr. Niladri Sekhar Ghosh	
Ms. Laboni Sikder	
Mr. Souvik Dey	
	... for the defacto-complainant

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 is in custody for about three years eight months and the petitioner no.2 is in custody for about five years seven months.

The records of the case reflect that one of the accused persons preferred an application for bail being Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.(s) 9163 of 2025, wherein, the Hon’ble Supreme Court by an order dated 09.10.2025 was pleased to direct for completing the trial within a period of three months.

However, the submissions advanced on behalf of the State as well as the petitioner reflect that towards the end of the trial, it was found that the expert's report which was already in the record was not taken into consideration as it was not known to the prosecution.

Be that as it may, it has also been submitted that in addition to the charges which have been framed, the prosecution has prayed by way of Section 311 of the Cr.P.C. and the examination of another 28 witnesses which has been allowed by the trial court.

Additionally it is submitted that, in all, 28 witnesses will be examined freshly and 11 witnesses will be examined on recall.

Having regard to the previous order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 09.10.2025, I am of the view that the ends of justice should not be suffered at this stage for the time which has been consumed.

Accordingly, I direct the learned trial court to conclude the 39 witnesses proposed to be examined by the prosecution within two months preferably by 27th May, 2026.

In case, the prosecution is unable to complete the witnesses by 27th May, 2026, the learned trial court will release the petitioners on bail on such terms and conditions

as it deems fit and proper for ensuring further appearance in course of the trial.

With the aforesaid observations, CRM(M) 311 of 2026 is disposed of.

The learned advocate for the petitioner and the State would communicate this order to the learned jurisdictional court.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)