

66. 11.02.2022
Court
No.28 (Tanmoy)

Rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

CRM (A)/342/2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 arising out of Tarakeswar PS Case No.0453 dated 27.11.2025 u/s. 316(2)/64(2)(m)/79/85 of BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: - **XXXX**

...petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Adak

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Binoy Kr. Panda
Ms. Kanchan Roy

...for the State.

Mr. Md. K. Basar Bulbul
Mr. Samirul Sardar

...for the victim girl/OP no.2.

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.
2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the husband of the *de facto* complainant. The prime allegation regarding sexual assault is against the father-in-law who is in custody.
3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the *de facto* complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
4. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statement of the victim recorded before the learned Magistrate as well as the medical report and statements of other witnesses.

5. Considering the above and the other incriminating materials in the case diary against the petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
6. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail being CRM(A)/342/2026 is dismissed.
7. Criminal Section is directed to make available urgent photostat certified copy of this order to the parties, if applied for, upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(JAY SENGUPTA, J.)