

07.04.2026
Court No.35.
D/L. 32.
Kausik
(Allowed)

CRM (M) 280 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Domjur Police
Station Case No. 7 of 2025 dated 04.01.2025 under Sections
65(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 4
of the POCSO Act, 2012.

And

In the matter of : Sujan Bag @ Sajan Bag @ Sajal

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Kallol Mondal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Souvik Das
Mr. Anamitra Banerjee
Mr. Sreyash Kr. Singh
Mr. Akbar Laskar
Ms. Moupia Chakraborty

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Bratin Kr. Dey
Mr. Joydeep Das

....for the Defacto Complainant.

Mr. Shiladitya Banerjee
Ms. Debjani Sahu

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner was arrested on 04.01.2025 and since then
he is in custody. Charges have already been framed and the
evidence of the victim is completed.

Learned advocate for the State as well as the defacto
complainant opposes the prayer for bail.

Learned advocate for the State has produced the case diary. Attention of the Court was drawn to the statement of the victim under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

I have taken into account the period of detention of the present petitioner and the evidence of the witnesses. Without going into the merits of the case and the fact that some time will be required to complete the trial, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed.

Accordingly, Petitioner, namely, **Sujan Bag @ Sajan Bag @ Sajal** shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each. One of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned Judge, Special Court, Howrah.

If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of District of Howrah without the prior permission of the Learned Trial Court.

Additionally, petitioner shall not enter the jurisdiction of Domjur Police Station till the evidence of the vulnerable witnesses are over. Petitioner, prior to entering Domjur Police Station would seek leave of the learned Special Court in seisin of the case.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 280 of 2026 is **allowed**.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)