

09.03.2026
Court No.28
Item No.39
ssi

CRM (A) 337 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Chandrakona Police Station Case No. 446 of 2025 dated 15.09.2025 under Sections 85/115(2)/109/316 (2)/3(5) of BNS 2023 and Sections 3 and 4 of the DP Act.

And

In the matter of: **Suman Mondal**

.... Petitioner

Mr. Santimay Bhattacharyya
Mr. Ziaul Haque
Mr. Anirban Das

...for the petitioner

Mr. Partha Pratim Das
Mr. Prakash Mishra

...for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the husband of the alleged victim. The marriage took place about 2 ½ years ago. The other accused were granted anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State files a report which is taken on record. He refers to the statements of the victim as well as the other statements, including the statements of a neighbor recorded before the learned Magistrate. According to the neighbor, the petitioner was having an affair with a married woman. However, he was married to the present victim. Thereafter, the accused started torturing the victim and, one day tore the clothes of the victim and drove her out of the matrimonial home. According to the victim, she was tortured on account of a demand for dowry and was driven out.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the present

petitioner, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)