

07.04.2026
Court No.35.
D/L. 33.
Kausik

CRM (M) 284 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Belghoria Police
Station Case No. 246 of 2024 dated 15.06.2024 under section
384/386/307/120B/341 of the Indian Penal Code read with
Section 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In the matter of : Altaf Raja & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Mr. Sabyasachi Chatterjee
Mr. Tirupati Mukherjee
Mr. Rishabh Ahmad Khan

.....for the Petitioners.

Ms. Sreyashee Biswas
Mr. Md. Kutubuddin

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits
that the petitioners are in custody for 1 year and 8 months and
only 1 witness till date has been examined and the cross-
examination of PW 2 is deferred on the prayer of the accused
persons.

Learned advocate for the petitioners additionally
submits that there has been no recovery from the present
petitioners and they have been falsely implicated in the instant
case. There are also no documents which have been supplied to
the accused persons which would reveal the complicity of the
present petitioners.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the recovery was from different persons. The present petitioners have been charged for supply of arms, there are call data records of the present petitioners who are residents of Bihar. There are C.C.T.V. footages also which support the prosecution case. A report has been submitted by the State also which reflects that the prosecution has decided to reduce the number of witnesses from 60 to 25 more.

In view of the aforesaid, an opportunity should be granted to the prosecution. Accordingly, prosecution is directed to put in efforts for substantial progress of the case, particularly the necessary witnesses should be completed within the next 6 months.

At this stage, after considering the gravity of the offence, the prayer for bail of the petitioners in CRM (M) 284 of 2026 is refused.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)