

11.02.2026
Court No.28
Item No.56
ssi

CRM (A) 331 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Raninagar Police Station Case No. 47 of 2026 dated 17.01.2026 under Sections 69/351 (2) of the BNS 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Ripon Mandal**

.... Petitioner

Mr. Mritunjoy Chatterjee
Mrs. Suchismita Chakraborty

...for the petitioner

Mr. Debapriya Majumder

...for the de facto

Ms. Sonali Das
Mr. Sandip Kundu

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case on merely a relationship turning sour. In the FIR, the de facto complainant alleged that there was a relationship between two and there was a promise to marry.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She relies on the statements of the victim and the local witnesses and the medical report. Although in the FIR, there is mention of an initial relationship between two and a promise to marry. Afterwards, the victim claimed that she was forcibly raped by the petitioner. In fact, in a statement before the learned Magistrate, she has stated that subsequently against her willing, she

was taken away and raped at gun point by the present petitioner. The statements of local witnesses support the contention of the de facto complainant, so far as harassment of the victim by the petitioner in broad day light is concerned.

An earlier relationship cannot give licence to a man to force himself upon a woman against her will.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider that this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)