

17/02/2026
D/L – 43
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Rejected

C.R.M.(A) 316 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Kaliachak P.S case no. 1048 of 2024 dated 12/7/2024 under Sections 363/365 of the IPC read with Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

In the matter of: Md Raihan Ali @ Raihan Sk.

...Petitioner.

Md. Wasim Akram

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Sovan Das Gupta
Ms. Swagata Dasgupta
Mr. Amitesh Dasgupta

...for the de-facto complainant.

Mr. Avishek Sinha
Mr. Subhasish Datta

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. There was a love affair between the 22 years petitioner and the 14 years old victim girl. The parents of the petitioner who had been falsely implicated in this case had been granted anticipatory bail by this Court.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the de-facto complainant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of witnesses including that of the alleged survivor made before the learned Magistrate, which clearly implicates the present petitioner. He also relies on the medical report.

4. Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the present petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)