

16/02/2026
D/L – 40
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Rejected

C.R.M.(A) 366 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Bagdah P.S case no. 1039 of 2024 dated 5/11/2024 under Sections 126(2)/117(2)/118(2)/109/3(5) of the BNS.

In the matter of: Sital Mridha @ Hari Mridha

...Petitioner.

Ms. Sarmistha De
Ms. Papi Sarkar

...for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Dutta
Mr. Prakash Mishra

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not the principal accused. The principal accused was granted bail earlier.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of the victim and of the local independent post-occurrence witnesses and the injury report which shows injuries on several parts of the body. There are extensive lacerations on the upper left finger and cut injury on the right index finger.
3. Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail.
4. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)