

AD 44
February 20, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 309 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Chopra P.S. Case No.376 of 2018 dated 28.08.2018 under Sections 147/148/149/326/302 of the IPC read with Section 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In the matter of: *Dabirul Islam and others* ... petitioners

Mr. Tanmoy Biswas ... for the petitioners

Ms. Anasuya Sinha, Id. APP
Mr. Kunal Ganguly ... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. The complaint is lodged by the wife of the victim. The FIR is different from the statements given by the other injured witnesses. Although 27 persons were named in the FIR, charge-sheets have been submitted against 57 persons.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and relies on the post-mortem report, the statements of other witnesses and the FIR.

It appears that the FIR was lodged promptly and by the wife of the victim who claims to be an eyewitness to the incident. The statements of some of the injured victims had been taken nearly after a month. The petitioners have been named in the FIR and by other witnesses.

The petitioners have remain absconding since 2008.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)