

12.02.2026

Court No.35.

D/L. 50.

Kausik

(Allowed)

CRM (M) 253 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Kalyani Police
Station Case No. 977 of 2025 dated 26th September, 2025 under
Sections 318(2)/336(3)/337/338/340(2) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Nani Gopal Adhikary

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Shibaji Kr. Das
Ms. Deblina De

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Ld. APP
Ms. Smita Saha

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner is in custody for 124 days and so far as the
present petitioner is concerned one mobile phone has been
seized.

Learned advocate for the State submits that the bail of
other accused persons have already been rejected.

I have assessed the materials appearing so far as the
present petitioner is concerned. I have also taken into account
that the investigation has already been concluded and 11
witnesses have been cited in the charge sheet. The petitioner is
not similarly placed as the other accused person, namely Vijay
Das whose prayer for bail has been rejected by this Court.

Having considered that the mobile phone which has been seized was not sent for FSL or CFSL examination for ascertaining regarding the contents which are foundation on which accusations have been made, I am of the view so far as the present petitioner's complicity, the issue relating to legally admissible evidence is debatable. As such I am of the view that further detention of the petitioner is unwarranted.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed.

Petitioner, namely, **Nani Gopal Adhikary** shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each. One of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned ACJM, Kalyani.

If on bail petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of District of Nadia without the prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 253 of 2026 is **allowed**.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)