

16.02.2026

Item No.34

Ct.No.35

dc.

Allowed

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (M) 241 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Chanchal Police Station Case No. 1678 of 2023 dated 26.12.2023 under Sections 395/397/120B/109/412 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : **Lalu Sahani @ Laleswar Shani @ Saini**
... Petitioner.

Mr. Dattatreya Dutta,
Mr. Monjit Bhattacharjee
... For the Petitioner.

Ms. Subhashree Patel,
Mr. Abhinaba Mukherjee
... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 10 months 18 days and it has been submitted that there has been no recovery from the present petitioner.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner is a history-sheeter. However, the State is unable to refute the contention of the petitioner that there has been no recovery from the present petitioner so far as this case is concerned.

Having considered the same and the submission of the learned advocate for the petitioner that petitioner was shown arrested after one and a half years in connection with the

instant case, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **allowed**.

Accordingly, the petitioner viz., **Lalu Sahani @ Laleswar Shani @ Saini** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-, with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chanchal.

If on bail, the petitioner shall meet with the Officer-in-Charge/Inspector-in-Charge, Chanchal Police Station once a week till further orders.

The petitioner shall also be physically present on each and every date so fixed by the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of the district of Malda without prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 241 of 2026, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)