

17th February,
2026
(AK)
10

C.R. 1017 of 1989
In
FMAT 368 of 1988

Shri Puranjan Kumar Maity
Vs.
Smt. Katyani Dey

1. This is the second occasion when none appears for the parties at the time of call.
2. Accordingly, CR 1017 of 1989 is discharged.
3. FMAT 368 of 1988 is dismissed for default.
4. There will be no order as to costs.
5. Interim orders, if any, stand vacated.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)

(Supratim Bhattacharya, J.)