

13.02.2026

Item No.39

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 220 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Bhatpara Police Station Case No. 492 of 2022 dated 02.07.2022 under Sections 302/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : **Manish Shaw @ Manish Kumar Shaw**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Soubhik Mitter,
Mr. Joy Chakraborty

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Ranadeb Sengupta,
Ms. Puja Goswami

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is similarly situated as the other accused persons who have been granted bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the State accepts such contention of the petitioner and submits that may be other accused persons who have been granted bail are similarly situated, but on the other hand submits that petitioner was absconding for a considerable period of time which contributed to the delay. 11 witnesses have been examined and four more witnesses have been proposed to be examined.

Having considered the advanced stage of the case, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

Report submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 220 of 2026, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)