

D/L.35.
March 11, 2026.
KAUSHIK.

WPA No. 183 of 2005

Sk. Reazuddin
Vs.
CESC Ltd.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner nor is any adjournment prayed for even in the second call.

This matter was appearing in the Warning List and the petitioner had sufficient notice that the same would be taken up for hearing.

In view of the non-appearance of the petitioner, **WPA 183 of 2005** stands dismissed for default.

Interim orders, if any, stand vacated.

(Ravi Krishan Kapur, J.)