

22.06.2026
Court No.35.
D/L.03.
Rakib
(Rejected)

CRM (M) 236 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Krishnanagar Cyber Police Station case no. 48 of 2025 dated 14.08.2025 under Sections 351(2)/351(3)/356(2)/356(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66E/67 of Information Technology Act and Sections 9/10 of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act and Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

And

In the matter of : XXXXX.

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Sumanta Das

.....for the Petitioner.

Ms. Koel Mukherjee

.....for the State.

Mr. Suvom Dutta

.....for the De-facto complainant.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody for more than 10 months and unnecessarily he has been languishing in custody.

Learned advocate for the de-facto complainant/victim is present.

Learned advocate for the State has submitted a report, let the same be kept with the record.

The Forensic Cyber Expert report do reveal circulation of obscene materials.

Having considered the substance of accusations which is corroborated, I am of the opinion that this is not a fit case for granting bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner in CRM (M) 236 of 2026 is **rejected**.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)